

4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MALIK TAYLOR,

Defendant.

Case: 2:23-cr-20555

Assigned To : Leitman, Matthew F.

Referral Judge: Patti, Anthony P.

Assign. Date : 9/27/2023

Description: IND USA V. MALIK TAYLOR (DJ)

Violations: 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1)
18 U.S.C. § 922(o)

INDICTMENT

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE

18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1)

Felon in Possession of a Firearm

On or about September 17, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan,
Southern Division, the defendant, MALIK TAYLOR, knowing that he had been
convicted of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year,
knowingly possessed, in and affecting interstate commerce, a firearm, that is a
Glock model 26 Gen 5, 9mm caliber semiautomatic pistol, in violation of Title 18,
United States Code, Section 922(g)(1).

COUNT TWO

18 U.S.C. § 922(o)

Illegal Possession of a Machinegun

On or about September 17, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, the defendant, MALIK TAYLOR, knowingly possessed a machinegun, that is, a Glock model 26 Gen 5, 9mm caliber semiautomatic pistol equipped with a machinegun conversion device, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 922(o).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

18 U.S.C. § 924(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461

Criminal Forfeiture

The allegations contained in this Indictment are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18 United States Code Section 924(d) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.

Upon conviction of the offense charged in Counts One and Two of this Indictment, Defendant MALIK TAYLOR shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d)(1) and 28 United States Code, Section 2461(c), any firearm or ammunition involved in or used in any knowing violation of said offense, including but not limited to: a Glock model 26 Gen 5, 9mm caliber semiautomatic pistol equipped with a machinegun conversion device.

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/Grand Jury Foreperson

Grand Jury Foreperson

DAWN N. ISON
United States Attorney

s/Craig Wininger

Craig Wininger
Chief, Violent and Organized Crime Unit

s/David Cowen

David Cowen
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: September 27, 2023

United States District Court
Eastern District of Michigan

Criminal Case Cover S

Case: 2:23-cr-20555
Assigned To : Leitman, Matthew F.
Referral Judge: Patti, Anthony P.
Assign. Date : 9/27/2023
Description: IND USA V. MALIK TAYLOR (DJ)

NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. Attorney signing this form to complete it a

		Companion Case Number:
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4) ¹ :		Judge Assigned:
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	AUSA's Initials: <i>DPL</i>

Case Title: USA v. MALIK TAYLOR

County where offense occurred : WAYNE

Check One: ☒ Felony ☐ Misdemeanor ☐ Petty

☐ Indictment/ ☐ Information --- no prior complaint.
☒ Indictment/ ☐ Information --- based upon prior complaint [Case number: 23-mj-30381]
☐ Indictment/ ☐ Information --- based upon LCrR 57.10 (d) [Complete Superseding section below].

Superseding Case Information

Superseding to Case No: _____ Judge: _____

- ☐ Corrects errors; no additional charges or defendants.
☐ Involves, for plea purposes, different charges or adds counts.
☐ Embraces same subject matter but adds the additional defendants or charges below:

Defendant name

Charges

Prior Complaint (if applicable)

Please take notice that the below listed Assistant United States Attorney is the attorney of record for the above captioned case.

September 27, 2023

Date

[Signature]
 David Cowen
 Assistant United States Attorney
 211 W. Fort St. Suite 2001, Detroit, MI
 Fax: (313) 226-5464
 E-Mail address: david.cowen@usdoj.gov
 Attorney Bar #: NM 142040

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.